1	REMARKS		
2		The Applicants respectfully request consideration and allowance of new claims 15-33 in	
3	view the above amendments and arguments set forth below.		
4			
5	I.	THE OBJECTION TO THE DRAWINGS	
6		Enclosed with this response is a replacement drawing sheet which includes an amended	
7	Figure 1. The amended Figure 1 shows all of the elements in solid lines. The Applicant believes		
8	that the amended Figure 1 obviates the objection to the drawings set out at Section 1 of the		
9	Office Action.		
10			
11	II.	THE AMENDED CLAIMS ARE NOT OBJECTIONABLE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.75(c)	
12		The Applicant believes that the new claims presented above obviate the multiple	
13	dependency objection set out at Section 2 of the Office Action.		
14			
15	III.	THE AMENDED CLAIMS ARE NOT OBJECTIONABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112	
16	Rejections Relating to the Term "Amplified Spontaneous Emission"		
17		The Office Action rejected claims 1, 11, and 13 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first and second	
18	parag	raphs, in view of the term "amplified spontaneous emission" appearing in the claims and	
19	the la	ck of reference in the disclosure to amplifiers employing gain media with discrete levels.	
20	The A	applicant traverses these rejections.	
21		The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the assertion in the Office Action that the term	

"amplified spontaneous emission" is only used to designate a type of scattered light emitted from

22

gain media with discrete levels such as Erbium-doped fibers. The Applicant believes that the term "amplified spontaneous emission" is known in the art to refer to any type of light, produced by spontaneous emission, that has been optically amplified by the process of stimulated emission in a gain medium. The gain medium may be any gain medium and not only gain media with discrete levels. Thus the Applicant submits that there is no reason to limit the disclosure in the present application to any particular type of gain medium. The Applicant intends that the invention encompass any type of optical amplifier and fiber used for the signal transmission. The term "amplified spontaneous emission" is to be understood in its broadest sense as understood in the art.

Rejections Relating to the Phrase "In Particular"

The Office Action rejected claims 1 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, in view of the phrase "in particular" appearing in those claims. The amended claims presented above eliminate the phrase "in particular," and thus are believed to obviate the Section 112, second paragraph rejections on this ground stated at Section 6 of the Office Action.

For all of these reasons the Applicant respectfully requests that the Section 112 rejections be withdrawn.

IV. THE NEW CLAIMS ARE NOT ANTICIPATED BY THE CITED ART

The Office Action rejected claims 1-3 and 11-13 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 7,031,049 to Kamada, et al. ("Kamada" or the "Kamada patent"). The Applicants respectfully submit that the new claims are not anticipated by Kamada.

Element (a) of new claim 15 requires generating an optical pump that is modulated during an amplifier start up period. This modulation allows the detection of the amplified spontaneous emission signal in a phase-sensitive manner as required at element (b) of claim 15. This pump power modulation and phase-sensitive detection is discussed in the original English translation of the application at the first paragraph of page 7.

New claim 28 requires a control unit that functions to modulate the pump power of the optical signal during optical amplifier start up. Claim 28 further includes a detector unit for detecting the power level of a resulting amplified spontaneous emission in a phase-sensitive manner.

Kamada does not teach or suggest the modulation of pump power required in both of the new independent claims. Kamada discloses no technique for detecting optical transmission line faults during the start up procedure for the optical amplifier. Because Kamada does not disclose this modulation of pump power during start up and does not disclose the phase-sensitive detection of the amplified spontaneous emission signal in an optical transmission line, the Applicant believes that new claims 15 and 28 and their respective dependent claims are not anticipated by Kamada.

V. THE NEW CLAIMS ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER THE CITED ART

The Office Action rejected claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamada in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,879,434 to Aoki et al. ("Aoki" or the "Aoki patent"). The Applicants respectfully submit that the new claims are not obvious in view of Kamada and Aoki because the proposed combination does not include each element required in the present claims.

The Office Action cited Aoki for its disclosure regarding the production of error messages to indicate certain optical signal path faults. However, Aoki does not make up for the deficiencies of Kamada with respect to the modulation of pump power required in new independent claims 15 and 28. Aoki does not teach or suggest the modulation of pump power required in the new claims. Because neither reference individually discloses or suggests the modulated pump power feature, the proposed combination of Kamada and Aoki cannot include the feature. The Applicant therefore respectfully submits that claims 15 and 28 are not obvious over Kamada and Aoki, and are entitled to allowance together with their respective dependent claims.

1	VI.	CONCLUSION		
2		For all of the above reasons th	e Applicant respectfully requests consideration and	
3	allowance of new claims 15-33.			
4		If the Examiner should feel th	at any issue remains as to the allowability of these claims,	
5	or that a conference might expedite allowance of the claims, the Examiner is asked to telephone			
6	the undersigned attorney at the number listed below.			
7				
8			Respectfully submitted,	
9			THE CULBERTSON GROUP, P.C.	
10			λ	
11 12 13 14	Date:	26 Oct 2007	By: Russell D. Culbertson, Reg. No. 32,124 1114 Lost Creek Boulevard, Suite 420 Austin, Texas 78746	
15 16 17 18 19			512-327-8932 ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT	
20 21	1002_F	Response_070626OA.wpd		